

REMARKS

The Applicant respectfully requests entry of the above amendment and reconsideration in view of the amendment and the following remarks.

The amendments to the claims correct grammatical errors that the applicant was not previously aware of and those skilled in the art in view of the specification would have understood the claims without the above amendments. The amendments were not statutorily necessary for the allowance of the claims and do not limit the equivalence of the claims.

The above amendment broadens the claims so that the claims cover all equivalents of the elements of the claims.

The above amendment was not required for patentability of the claims, so that the claims cover all equivalents of the elements of the claims.

In response to the objection to the Abstract, a new Abstract has been provided above.

Regarding the rejections of the claims, applicant respectfully traverses the assertions in the previous office actions.

In response to the rejection of claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. §112, 2nd ¶, for allegedly being indefinite, those skilled in the art will understand the original claim in view of the specification. In view of the above amendments to correct obvious grammatical errors, this rejection is moot.

The claims are definite and distinguished from the citations and Applicant respectfully requests the allowance of all claims.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any fee (except the issue fee) including fees for any required extension of time, to Account No. 14-1270.

Respectfully submitted,

By *Michael E. Belk*
Michael E. Belk, Reg. 33,357
Patent Attorney
(914) 333-9643

n:\userpublic\be\pa\fr\fr000051_am1.doc